



The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

DEPARTMENT OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND ENERGY

MASSACHUSETTS ELECTRIC COMPANY AND NANTUCKET ELECTRIC COMPANY

D.T.E. 05-2

SECOND SET OF INFORMATION REQUESTS OF THE DEPARTMENT OF TELECOMMUNICATIONS AND ENERGY TO MASSACHUSETTS ELECTRIC COMPANY AND NANTUCKET ELECTRIC COMPANY

Pursuant to 220 C.M.R. § 1.06(6)(c), the Department of Telecommunications and Energy ("Department") submits to Massachusetts Electric Company and Nantucket Electric Company ("MECo" or "Company") the following Information Requests:

INSTRUCTIONS

The following instructions apply to this set of Information Requests and all subsequent Information Requests issued by the Department to the Company in this proceeding.

1. Each request should be answered in writing on a separate, three-hole punch page with a recitation of the request, a reference to the request number, the docket number of the case and the name of the person responsible for the answer.
2. Do not wait for all answers to be completed before supplying answers. Provide the answers as they are completed.
3. These requests shall be deemed continuing so as to require further supplemental responses if the Company or its witness receives or generates additional information within the scope of these requests between the time of the original response and the close of the record in this proceeding.
4. The term "provide complete and detailed documentation" means:

Provide all data, assumptions and calculations relied upon. Provide the source of and basis for all data and assumptions employed. Include all studies, reports and planning documents from which data, estimates or assumptions were drawn and support for how

the data or assumptions were used in developing the projections or estimates. Provide and explain all supporting work-papers.

5. The term “document” is used in its broadest sense and includes, without limitation, writings, drawings, graphs, charts, photographs, phono-records, microfilm, microfiche, computer printouts, correspondence, handwritten notes, records or reports, bills, checks, articles from journals or other sources and other data compilations from which information can be obtained and all copies of such documents that bear notations or other markings that differentiate such copies from the original.
6. If any one of these requests is ambiguous, notify the Hearing Officer so that the request may be clarified prior to the preparation of a written response.
7. Please file one copy of the responses with Mary L. Cottrell, Secretary of the Department; also submit two (2) copies of the responses to John J. Geary, Hearing Officer, one (1) copy of the responses to Sean Hanley, Assistant Director, Rates and Revenue Requirements Division; one (1) copy of the responses to Stephen Crowley, Analyst, Rates and Revenue Requirements Division; and one (1) copy of the responses to Glenn Shippee, Analyst, Rates and Revenue Requirements Division.
8. In addition to filings all non-proprietary responses should be submitted by e-mail to dte.efiling@state.ma.us and to the e-mail address of any party required to be served.
9. Responses are due on or before Monday, May 16, 2005.

INFORMATION REQUEST

- | | |
|---------|---|
| DTE 2-1 | Please provide the status of MECo’s 2004 Reconciliation Report (“Report”) that the Company has filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (“FERC”). In addition, provide a copy of the Report. |
| DTE 2-2 | Please provide a copy of the revised decommissioning rate schedule for the Maine Yankee unit which was approved by FERC |
| DTE 2-3 | Please provide a copy of the revised decommissioning rate schedule for the Connecticut Yankee unit which is pending FERC approval. |
| DTE 2-4 | Please provide a copy of the New England Power Company (“NEP”) and USGenNE settlement agreement which was approved by the bankruptcy court on November 5, 2003. |

- DTE 2-5 Please describe the steps that NEP is taking and identify the parties it is working with to include some portion or all of the Hydro Quebec facilities for recovery as part of regional transmission rates. Summarize the status of NEP's efforts to date.
- DTE 2-6 What is the status of USGenNE's bankruptcy proceeding with respect to its continued performance under the Purchased Power Contract Transfer Agreement? What steps has NEP taken to mitigate the impact on its customers?
- DTE 2-7 Do NEP, Montaup Electric Company, or MECo have ownership interest in any generation units? If yes, state the name of the unit and provide a copy of the ownership agreement.
- DTE 2-8 Please refer to Exh. TMB at 21, line 12. The Company states that transmission costs are estimated to be \$149 million for 2005. What were the Companies transmission expenditures for 2002, 2003 and 2004?
- DTE 2-9 Please refer to the pre-filed testimony of Carol A. Currier at 5, line 20. Are the costs associated with entering into contracts with Northeast Utilities in the Southern Berkshire area included in the total NEP transmission revenue requirement?
- DTE 2-10 Please refer to the pre-filed testimony of Carol A. Currier at 10. Is the Company aware of any studies that have assessed whether the allocation method used for costs for black start, reactive power, and scheduling and dispatch services bear any relationship to the total cost incurred by a company for these services?
- DTE 2-11 Please refer to Workpaper CAC-1, at 1. Discuss why the Company used a 15 percent carrying charge. Also discuss why MECo used an 18 percent carrying charge referenced in Workpaper CAC-1, at 2.
- DTE 2-12 What changes were made to the Company's transmission system to account for the \$12 million increase to reactive power costs?